

OMG! Identifying and Refactoring Common SQL Performance Anti-patterns Jeffrey M. Jacobs

Jeffrey M. Jacobs Senior Data Architect, PayPal jmjacob@jeffreyjacobs.com



Qualifications

- 2 centuries of experience with Oracle, as consultant and trainer
- Presentation and paper available at <u>www.jeffreyjacobs.com</u>, should be available for RMOUG soon
 - You have one of the top 1% most viewed LinkedIn profiles for 2012



Survey Says

- DBAs
- Developers
- Architects
- Heavily non-Oracle development shop
- Concerned with performance
- Access to production size database
- Easy access to running traces, Enterprise Manager



Introduction to OMG Method

- OMG Method focuses on
 - Refactoring SQL
 - Indexing
 - Refactoring application side code
 - Hinting "suggestions" (not a hinting presentation)
 - See Maria Colgan's Oracle Optimizer..., Wed, 1:30
- OMG Method targets performance problems created by Developers Inexperienced in Oracle technologies (DIO)
- OMG Method requires no DBA privileges other than indexing
 - No tracing



Fair Warning

- No demos
- No "proofs"
- Quick fixes
- Based on multiple experiences over many years from real world



Requirements for SQL Performance Heroes

- Good SQL fundamentals
- Able to read basic explain plans
- Understand basic performance statistics from autotrace
- Courage to make and test changes
 - Don't take my word for it!
- Willingness to work with and educate DIOs



Why OMG Method

- Vast majority of performance problems are result of DIOs'
 - Lack of training in SQL and Oracle
 - Lack of interest in SQL and Oracle
 - Misinformation about SQL and Oracle performance
 - Resistance to PL/SQL
 - Focus on OO, procedural and functional programming techniques
 - Iterative thinking vs set thinking



Anti-Patterns

- Definition
 - Common SQL or design practice that results in poor performance
- OMG Method identifies common anti-patterns and techniques to fix them
 - Always verify that OMG fixes actually improve performance
- OMG Method does not address schema design problems
 - No changes to tables or columns
 - Statistics are "good"



Understanding Common Design and DIOs Anti-patterns

- Overly Generic Data Models
 - OBJECT, INSTANCE, ATTRIBUTE,
 ATTRIBUTE_VALUE structures
- Fat, Unnormalized Tables
 - Often with in-line CLOBs
- Fear of Joins
 - "Joins are to be avoided a all costs" mentality
- Failure to Understand SQL query cost in application code
- Iterative vs Set World View



Understanding Common Design and DIOs Anti-patterns

- Unmanaged Surrogate Primary Keys
 - (Nearly) all tables have surrogate primary keys
 - Values for same row is not consistent across environments, e.g., COMPANY_ID value for same company differs across production, development, test environments
 - Typically use additional *lookup* columns
- Widespread use of Dummy values instead of NULL
 - DIOs uncomfortable using NULL
 - Misunderstanding of performance issues with NULL



Understanding Common Design and DIOs Anti-patterns

- "Indexed searches are always better"
- Lack of documentation, i.e. What does this query do?



Avoid Dynamic SQL

- Avoid/eliminate dynamic SQL, e.g. creation and execution of SQL queries created by concatenating strings
 - Particularly problematic when using literals for constants
- Use prepared statements with bind variable
- Dynamic SQL results in heavy parsing overhead and SGA memory usage
 - Child cursors may be created even if the only differences between SQL queries is literal values
 - Potential for SQL Injection



Inline Views

In SQL code, an inline view is a subquery used <u>in place</u> of a table, e.g.,

```
SELECT ...
FROM
(SELECT ...)
```



Avoid/Replace Materialized Inline Views

- Inline views typically results in an "inline view" being created in the execution plan
 - Referred to as materialized inline view (MIV)
- Oracle may also merge the SQL inline view with the outer query
- MIVs produce a result set, e.g., a temporary table (not to be confused with Global Temporary Table)
 - MIVs are never indexed
 - Joins with a MIV effectively perform a Full Table Scan (FTS) against the MIV, e.g. multiple FTS!
 - Poor performance if result set is large



Avoid/Replace Materialized Inline Views

- DIOs frequently write inline views which can and should be replaced by joins
 - Generally can be done with little or no understanding of underlying schema semantics
 - Try /*+ MERGE */ hint first; generally doesn't improve performance, but worth trying
 - May also help in rewrite



Merged Inline Views

- As the Cost Based Optimizer has evolved, it frequently merges SQL inline views with the outer query
- Frequently not a performance improvement!
 - Particularly with poorly written SQL inline views
 - 10G's merging is much better than 9i's
 - 11G's is even better (but not perfect)
- Try /*+ NO_MERGE */ hint



Never Update Primary Key Columns

- Primary key (PK) columns should never be updated, even to current value
- Common DIO approach is to update all columns in a row
- Updating PK columns forces examination of referencing foreign key (FK) constraints on child tables
 - General performance issue, even if FK columns indexed
 - Results in FTS if FK columns not indexed



Avoid/Remove Unnecessary Outer Joins

- DIOs frequently add outer joins "just to be safe"
- Outer joins may be expensive, limiting CBO choices
 - Be sure join columns are indexed
- Work with developer or end user to determine if outer join is needed



EXISTS vs IN

- Replacing IN with EXISTS often produces dramatic performance improvement
- IN by DIO typically uses uncorrelated subquery

```
FROM table_1 outer

WHERE

outer.col_1 IN

(SELECT inner.col_1

FROM table_2 inner

[WHERE ...])
```



IN Performance Issues

- IN may perform poorly
 - Produces result set, effectively a materialized inline view
 - CBO may replace IN with EXISTS; verify via execution plan
 - Result set is unindexed
 - Result set is scanned for every row in outer query
 - Large result set is well known performance killer
- IN should only be used when the result set is small
- Note that if the value of outer.col_1 is NULL, it will never match the result of the IN
 - Use NVL on both the inner and outer columns if NULL must be matched



EXISTS vs IN

- DIOs seldom know how to use EXISTS as it involves a correlated subquery, e.g., a join between column(s) in the outer and column(s) in the inner query
- Replace the uncorrelated subquery with a subquery by joining the outer column from the IN clause with an appropriate column in the subquery



EXISTS Correlated Subquery

```
• SELECT ...
  FROM table 1 outer
  WHERE
  EXISTS
   (SELECT 'T' -- use a simple constant here
      FROM table 2 inner
      WHERE
         outer.col_1 = inner.col_1
         [AND ...]) - WHERE predicates from original
          query
```



EXISTS Correlated Subquery

- The join columns (inner.col_1 in example) from the table in the correlated subquery should be indexed
 - Check to see if appropriate indexes exist; add them if needed
- Use a constant in the SELECT of the correlated subquery; do not select the value of an actual column
 - NULL works as "constant", but is very confusing
- Note that SELECT DISTINCT is unnecessary for both IN and EXISTS



Relevant Hints

- PUSH_SUBQ/NO_PUSH_SUBQ
- UNNEST/NO_UNNEST



Subquery Factoring using WITH

- Very powerful (and virtually unknown)
- Many DIO written queries use identical subqueries/inline views repeatedly
- Often lengthy UNIONs



Often lengthy UNIONs

```
SELECT ...
FROM
   table 1,
   (SELECT ... FROM table_2, table_3, ... WHERE
     table_2.id = table_3.id) IV
   WHERE ...
UNION
   SELECT ...
   FROM
   Table_4,
   (SELECT ... FROM table_2, table_3, ... WHERE
     table_2.id = table_3.id) IV
   WHERE ...
   UNION ...
```



Performance Issue

- Oracle's CBO is not aware of identical nature of subqueries (unlike programming language optimizers)
 - Executes each subquery
 - Returns distinct result set for each subquery
 - Redundant, unnecessary work



Subquery Factoring

- Subquery factoring has two wonderful features
 - Generally results in improved performance
 - Always simplifies code
 - Factored subquery only appears once in the code as a preamble
 - Referenced by name in main query body
 - More readable, easier to maintain and modify



Syntax

```
/* Preamble, multiple subqueries may be defined */
WITH
    pseudo_table_name_1
    AS (SELECT ...)
    [, pseudo_table_name_2 ... AS (SELECT ...)]
    /* Main query body */
    SELECT ...
    FROM pseudo_table_name_1 ...
... - typically UNIONs
```



Example

Applying this to the example /* Preamble */ WITHIV AS (SELECT ... FROM table_2, table_3, ... WHERE table_2.id = table 3.id /* Main query body */ SELECT ... FROM table_1, IV -- IV is pseudo table name WHERE ... UNION SELECT ... FROM Table_4, IV -- IV is pseudo table name WHERE ... UNION ...



Factoring Options

- Oracle may perform one of two operation on factored subqueries
 - Inline performs textual substitution into main query body
 - Effectively same query as pre-factoring
 - No performance improvement due to factoring
 - Still more readable
 - Materializing factored subquery
 - Executes the factored subquery only once
 - Creates true temporary table (not Global Temporary Table)
 - Temp Table Transformation
 - Populates temporary table with direct load INSERT from factored subquery



Materialized Factored Subquery Issues

- Materialized Factored Subqueries (MFS) issues CREATE TABLE for temp table at least once (on 1st execution)
- Multiple tables may be created if query executions overlap or child cursors created
- Tables are reused if possible
- Recursive SQL performs INSERT /*+ APPEND */
- Data is written to disk
- Doesn't always result in performance improvement



Hints for Subquery Factoring

- /*+ Materialize */ will force materializing
 - Seldom, if ever, needed
 - Oracle only materializes when subquery used more than once (but verify)
- /*+ Inline */ will force textual substitution
 - Use when materializing does not improve performance
- Other hints may be used in factored subquery, e.g. INDEX, etc.
 - Note that MERGE and NO_MERGE may be combined with INLINE
- Hint follows SELECT in factored subquery
 - WITH (SELECT /*+ hint */ ..) AS ...



INDEX Hints

- DIO often believe everything should use indexes
- Frequent use of unqualified INDEX hint, e.g., only table name specified, but no index
 - SELECT /*+ INDEX (table_name) */
 - Yes, this does work!
- Oracle will always use an index, no matter how bad
 - Unclear which index will be used; documentation says "best cost", but unclear if true; experience suggests 1st in alphabetical order
 - Further complicated by poor indexing
- Fix by either
 - Qualifying hint by specifying index name(s) or columns
 - Removing hint entirely
 - Removing the hint often improves performance



Constant Data Conversion Issues

- When comparing a VARCHAR2 (or CHAR) column to a constant or bind variable, be sure to use string data type or conversion function
- Oracle does not always do what you would expect
 - WHERE my_varchar2_col = 2 does not convert 2 to a string!!!
 - Converts every rows's my_varchar2_col to a number for the comparison
 - Generally results in FTS
 - Common cause of "I just can't get rid of this FTS"
- Common problem with overloaded generic and OO models
- Be aware of other type implicit type conversion functions, e.g. DATE and TIMESTAMP!



Mixing Columns and Constants in WHERE Clause

- Column side of WHERE clause should be "naked", without constants or functions
 - WHERE SALARY + 1000 > :avg_sal
 - Eliminates CBO ability to use index ("guesses" 5%)
- Move constants/functions to "other side"
 - WHERE SALARY > :avg_sal 1000



Eliminate Unnecessary Lookup Joins

- Tables with unmanaged surrogate keys typically have lookup/alternate key column(s) with consistent data across environments
 - Very common with generic and OO models
- Typical code is:

 Results in access to reference_table for every applicable row in child_table



Eliminate Unnecessary Lookup Joins

- Even worse when UPPER/LOWER function applied to lookup_column (unless appropriate functional index exists)
- Replace with scalar subquery

```
SELECT
   FROM child_table
   WHERE
   child_table.reference_table_id =
    (SELECT reference_table_id
   FROM reference_table
   WHERE
   reference_table.lookup_column = `constant' )
```

Only performs scalar subquery once



Improving Pagination

- Pagination refers to returning row n through m from an ordered result set using ROWNUM
 - Typically for data on a web page or screen
- Common, worst case code:

```
SELECT t1.col_1,...

FROM

(SELECT *

FROM table_1

WHERE ...

ORDER BY ...) t1

WHERE

ROWNUM between n and m
```



Improvement Steps

- 1. Replace literals with bind variables
- Replace "*" in innermost inline view with desired columns
 - Potentially reduces unnecessary I/O and sort processing
- 3. Refactor the query so that inline view only returns 1st *m* rows and use /*+ FIRST_ROWS (n) */ hint (per Tom Kyte's *Effective Oracle by Design* on *Pagination with ROWNUM*); *Tom's hint is deprecated and should be FIRST_ROWS(n)*



Improvement Step #3

```
SELECT *
   FROM
      (SELECT /*+ FIRST_ROWS (n) */
         ROWNUM AS rnum, a.*,
         FROM
          (SELECT t1.col_1,...
           FROM table_1
              WHERE ...
              ORDER BY ...) a
         WHERE
           ROWNUM \leq m
      WHERE rnum > = :n
```



Improvement Step #4

- Replace the columns in innermost inline view with ROWID and join to table in outermost query
 - May provide substantial I/O performance improvements on fat tables, particularly those with inline CLOBs



Improvement Step #4

```
SELECT t1.col 1,...
   FROM
   table_1,
   (SELECT /*+ FIRST ROWS (n) */
      ROWNUM AS rnum, inner_row_id
      FROM
          (SELECT ROWID inner_row_id -- innermost query
           FROM table_1
               WHERE ...
               ORDER BY ...)
          WHERE
           ROWNUM <= :m)
      WHERE rnum > = :n
      AND table_1.ROWID = inner_row_id
```



UPDATE and **DELETE** Performance

- "I'm DELETEing/UPDATEing a few rows. It's virtually instantaneous when I test it in my development environment, but takes a very long time in production!" – Joe the DIO
- Check for indexes on FK constraint columns of child tables.
 - Lack of indexes on FK constraints requires an FTS of each child table for each row to be DELETEd/UPDATEd in parent table
 - Common problem with history tables
- Add appropriate indexes



UPDATE and **DELETE** Performance

- Look for foreign key constraints using Cascade Delete
 - Hierarchy of cascade deletes can result in very poor performance
 - Unclear if circular references ever complete
- Beyond scope of OMG
 - Application code may depend on existence of Cascade Delete
 - Quick fix may be temporarily altering constraints



Add Indexes on Foreign Key Constraints

- FK constraints should always be indexed
 - Have not yet seen exception to this rule (but always interested)
- Primary performance gains
 - Improved join performance fundamental feature of CBO
 - Can eliminate unnecessary joins
 - UPDATE and DELETE performance
 - Oracle apparently still performs table level locks, despite statements to contrary



Add Foreign Key Constraints

- "FK constraints hurt performance. We'll enforce referential integrity (RI) in the application" – Flo the DIO
 - Translation: "We won't make any mistakes in the application code"
 - Won't really verify RI in the application
 - True verification would result in worse performance
- It doesn't matter how well the system performs if the data is corrupt!
 - Earned big \$ as expert witness demonstrating issues with lack of FK constraints
- CBO uses existence of FK constraints
 - Can eliminate unnecessary joins
- Adds to effective documentation of system



Eliminate Redundant Indexes

- Redundant indexes, e.g., indexes with identical leading columns
 - Common DIO anti-pattern
- Impacts INSERT/UPDATE/DELETE performance
- Confuses CBO
 - Unclear how CBO selects index when two (or more) have needed leading columns, but different trailing columns
- Rules of thumb
 - Eliminate index with most trailing columns
 - Indexes with more than 3 columns are suspect
 - PK indexes with trailing columns should be reduced to PK only



Reduce Unnecessary and Redundant Queries

- Worst real world case
 - 80,000 individual queries from application takes 3+ hours
 - Single query took under 30 seconds
- Individual query is not performance problem
 - Total number of queries is problem
- Two general cases
 - 1. Iteration
 - DIO issues large number of SELECTs, typically performing join, calculations or sorts in application
 - Generally easy to replace with single query
 - 2. Redundant Queries
 - DIO issues same query repeatedly for unchanging data, typically refreshing page/screen, i.e., field label
 - Requires changes to application code structure
 - Not usually Hero's domain



Add Appropriate Functional Indexes

- Functional indexes (FI) are great quick fixes for many anti-patterns
- Two common anti-patterns



Mixed case string columns

- Column contains mixed case data used for both lookup/filtering and display
 - Good design would be two columns, one for lookup and one for display
- (Somewhat) knowledgeable DIO uses UPPER(column_name)
 - Less knowledgeable use LOWER(column_name)
- Add appropriate index(es)
 - If possible, standardize queries to use one function
 - May need to add both indexes :-{



Eliminating Dummy Values

- DIOs typically use dummy values in place of NULL, e.g., -99
- Queries use: WHERE column_name <> -99 instead of WHERE column_name IS NOT NULL
- <> kills use of index on column_name
- If significant percentage of rows contain dummy value, add functional index to improve performance
 - NULLIF(column_name,-99)
- Queries need to be modified to use function
 - WHERE NULLIF(column_name,-99) IS NOT NULL
- Real world cases may involve multiple dummy values, e.g. -9, -99 and -999 (really!)
 - Use DECODE, CASE or other function



Use PL/SQL for Bulk Operations

 Use of BULK COLLECT and FORALL provides huge performance improvements over application side operations



Summary

- Many anti-patterns easily identifiable
- Many anti-patterns subject to easy, quick and safe fixes
 - OMG Tips won't work for every query
- SQL Hero needs to be willing to modify queries and test results
- SQL Hero needs to understand why DIOs use antipatterns and educate them